

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/757,378	01/09/2001	James Brady	98-C-037C1	6527	
75	590 06/04/2002				
Lisa K. Jorgenson			EXAMINER		
M/S 2346 1310 Electronics Dr.			LEE, BENNY T		
Carrollton, TX	75006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

2817 DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			* * *	.			
				**2%*	guer fair	. N.O.T. 4.	
		F .				2001 L	
				-, -	3	A. (18 A.) 24	
					A.T17	P.A.	
		ŧ		DAT	EMAILLU:		*
	The second monites on the examiner in char.	e of your appli	isation.				
	CON WISSIGNER OF PATENTS	AND TRACEN	KARKS				
	Responsive Constitution period for response to this action is set to coping with the period for response will cause the	o expireTher the college	(3)nin(s), o become scindine	ەالىقنىڭ	n the cate of this		
<u>:</u>	Liste of Meterences Oried by Examiner, PTO-892. Active is Art Oried by Applicant, PTO-1449 The matter on new to Effect Drawing Omanges, PT		1.			Form PT0-00_	
: i.	COMMARY OF ACTION				. •		
- 7	1,3-6	, 8-	11,13,14	r, 16-19	L are pending	in the applies	
,	Of the above, claims		 		era withdraw	n from conside	
: Z	5 c.sims 2, 7, 12,	15	·	<u> </u>	have been c	enselled.	
- Z	1,3-5,8,1	0:11,	13.14.16'.	17-19	, ale alloned.		
[6 9) 	1-7				•
" /					are rejected,	•	
~ _	0.1 kms				ajo objected	to.	
· =	Claums			are subject t	o restriction or el	ection requires	: 5
	This application has been filed with informal crawin matter is indicated.		·			ne as allonable	10,000
:- =	Anomable subject natter maxing been indicated, for	rul drawlings a	re required in respi	inse to this C	ffice action.		
: =	The corrected or substitute drawings have been rede not appealable (see explanation).	e3 cn	4= 1	These dra	wings age. 🗀 ac	:sla <u>uquo</u>	
- =	The produced playing obtraction and/or the production and/or the production and/or the production and/or the production and pr	proposed acd. c.sapproved b	tional or substitute y the examiner (so	sheet(s) of d e-expluiation)	ranings, files on I		
- =	The proposed drawing correction, filed	aming changes	. It is now applica	nt's responsit	ollity to ensure to	tat the down.	
; =	Abwicksept entits made of the claim for priority on	ser 35 U.S.C. :	119. The certified	ಜ್ಯು ಚಿತ್ರ 🗀	been reperved	not been re	
	seen filed in parent application, serial no		; filed o				
- [Since this application appears to be in condition for accurance with the practice under Exiparte Quayle,	allonance exc	est for formal matt	urs, prosecuti	on as to the ner.	ts is closed in	·
	· ·						

2022 J. Fr. 7 + C2.

EXAMINER'S AOTION

5N 757378

Serial Number: 757378

Art Unit: 2817

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 1, note that the status of the parent application should be updated. Page 5, in the brief description of figs. 1 and 6B, should these drawing figure be considered -- prior art --?; line 12, note that reference to "line 3A-3A" is still not consistent with line 3B-3B as depicted in figure 3A. Page 7, line 7, note that "delta" still needs to be strictly defined. Note that "TO OTHER CIRCUITRY" appearing in Fig. 4C needs to be described in the specification.

The drawings are objected to because of the following: In figs. 1, 3B, 5A, 5B, 6B, 6C, 6D, note that for the cross-sectional views therein, a proper cross-hatching for an insulating material needs to be provided. In Figs. 1, 6B, should these figures be labeled as -- PRIOR ART -- 2; In fig. 4c, reference label -- 120 -- needs to be provided. Correction is required.

Claims 6, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, note that it is unclear whether the subject matter of this claim can properly depend from the subject matter of claim 3, from which this claim indirectly depends. In particular, it is unclear whether the "first conductor" can be in a "second plane" if in claim 3, such "first conductor" has already been defined as being in a "first plane". Clarification is needed.

In claim 9, note that it is unclear how "a clock signal" relates to the "electrical signal" recited in claim 1, form which this claim directly depends (i.e. a part thereof, a separate signal therefrom, etc). Clarification is needed.

Serial Number: 757378

Art Unit: 2817

The following claim has been found objectionable for reasons set forth below:

In claim 1, lines 8, 14; claim 5, line 3; claim 11, lines 8, 12: note that --to-- should follow "parallel" at each occurrence.

In claim 11, lines 7, 11, note that "a second area" should correctly be --the second area-for proper antecedent basis.

Claims 6, 9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17-19 are allowable over the prior art of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Benny Lee at telephone number 308 4902.